

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,550	10/659,550 09/09/2003		Alan Weiss	MCA-623 US	3075
25182	7590	03/01/2006		EXAMINER	
MILLIPOR	E CORP	ORATION	MENON, KRISHNAN S		
290 CONCO		<del>-</del>		ADTIBUT	D. DED 3 11 10 10 10
BILLERICA	<b>A, MA 0</b> 1	1821	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1723		

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/659,550	WEISS ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Krishnan S. Menon	1723
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dates in the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 Fe</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 14-21</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 14-21</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See iion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachmen  1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notic 3) Infor	r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1,3-6 and 14-21 are pending as amended 2/13/06

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 1,3 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Root et al (US 4,948,564).

Root'564 teaches a filtration plate (18-figure 8) with a filter in the bottom of each well (18), a collection plate (122) with wells (140), and an evaporation control device in th4 form of a plastic sheet (120) having holes (136) which register with the wells of the filter plate and the collection plate, a hole for each of the wells, as claimed. See column 3 lines 3-32. The device is associated with a centrifuge (column 6 lines 56-68).

Claim 20 recites the process using the multiwell filter described above, which Root'564 teaches in column 6 lines 56-68.

2. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Dunnington et al (US 6,376,256).

Dunnington teaches a gasket sheet (17) sealing between the upper and lower wells (40 and 45) with holes larger than at least the well or capillary 40 – see figure 5.

Art Unit: 1723

Upper well is a filter – it retains the beads. The system is useful with a centrifuge – see abstract and paragraph linking column 6 and 7.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 5,6, 14-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Root'564 as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Root (US 5,650,323) or Guhl et al (US 4,657,867).

Instant claims, including independent claim 19, recite the filter plate, the well plate, the evaporation control device between the filter plate and the well plate and the cover on the filter plate. With respect to Claim 14, the interface formed is the sheet 120. The claims differ from the teaching of Root'564 in the recitation of the "cover" which covers the top of the filter plate, and which has a skirt that extends down to the collection plate in the bottom. Root'564 teaches a cover (202) in figure 14 and 15, but this cover does not have the skirt.

Claim 21 is a "Jepson" claim, and therefore the preamble of claim 21 is admitted prior art for all the pending claims. The preamble part of claim 21 is taught by Root as above, and the sheet material.

Root'323 teaches a cover with a skirt as claimed in figure 14 in a multiwell filtration plate system. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the teaching of Root'323 in the teaching of Root'564, or in the applicant's admission of prior art in claim 21, for the cover because the cover of Root'323 would keep the system from evaporation losses, and particularly, the cover of Root'323 in figure 14 having drip rings matching each well that would maintain sterility and evaporation control. See column 4 lines 4-8 and column 5 lines 34-39 of Root'323.

Guhl teaches that a cover of multiwell plates is well known, and is used for the advantages such as sterility, prevent cross-talk and maintain ventilation (see column 1 lines 25-53), and that Guhl's teaching of a cover with a skirt has advantages over the known cover plates (see column 2 lines 40-57 and figures). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the teaching of Guhl in the teaching of Root'564, or in the applicant's admission of prior art, to have the cover for the advantages as taught by Guhl.

The distance to which the skirt of the cover need to extend would be within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to design, to obtain sufficient closure with the cover. See in Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984).

## 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunnington.

The hole in the gasket in Dunnington is at least larger than the capillary well 40, and appears the same size as the bottom well, and so differs from the recitation of claim

Art Unit: 1723

4 in that respect. However, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that the hole-size in Dunnington can be selected to have the upper well descending into the lower well. Actual size of the hole would be a matter within the capability of one of ordinary skill in the art to design. See in Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984).

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/13/06 have been fully considered but are moot due to the new grounds for rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1723

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krishnan S. Menon whose telephone number is 571-272-1143. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Krishnan S. Menon Patent Examiner 2/25/06